

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,091	07/08/2003	Chang-nyeun Kim	1572.1102	8368	
21171	7590 07/05/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			TANNER,	TANNER, HARRY B	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YO	ORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3744		

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			$\sim 10^{-1}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7.0
	10/614,091	KIM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Harry B. Tanner	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO stute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this con	nmunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	9 April 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-31</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-23 is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9-13 and 24-30</u> is/are rejected	ed.		
7) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>8 and 31</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) 🗌 objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance: See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTC	D-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		A1141 A1-	
2. Coning of the partition control of the priority docume		· ·	`taaa
 Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur 	-	ii received iii tiiis ivatioliai s	olage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		t received	
See the attached detailed Office action for a	not of the sertined copies no	. 1000140d.	
Attachment(s)	🗖		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	F	Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)

Art Unit: 3744

Claims 14-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 11/2/04.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-7, 9, 24-27 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese reference 2001-091143 in view of Rivalto. Japanese reference 2001-091143 discloses a refrigerator having a cooling compartment, memory means for storing the health condition of users and information on food stored in the compartment in which a controller can search the database and display the food that is related to the current user and his health condition. It is inherent in the Japanese reference 2001-091143 system that a user recognition device is provided in order for the controller to determine the stored food appropriate for the user and that the system also includes an means for inputting the user health condition. Rivalto teaches the use of a biometric input device such as an iris scanner in order to recognize the user of a storage device (see col. 3, lines 1-7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of the Japanese reference such that it included the use of an iris scanner in order to recognize the user of the refrigerator in view of the teachings of Rivalto.

Art Unit: 3744

Claims 10-13 and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese reference 2001-091143 in view of Rivalto as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Maeda. Maeda teaches the use of a bar code scanner in order to track the input and removal of food from a refrigerator and recording how long the food has been in the refrigerator. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of the Japanese reference such that it included the use of a bar code scanner in order to track the input and removal of food from a refrigerator and recording how long the food has been in the refrigerator in view of the teachings of Maeda.

Claims 8 and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's arguments filed 4/19/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. For example, with respect to applicant's contention that there is no suggestion to combine the Japanese reference and the Rivalto reference, it is pointed out that the test for obviousness is not what the references expressly suggest but what the disclosures taken as a whole would suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art. It is the examiner position that the Japanese reference clearly calls for some way to indicate to the information processor which person is being referenced with respect to health condition and favorite food items. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a device that can indicate to an information processor which person is taking items out of a storage system by using biometric technology as

Application/Control Number: 10/614,091

Art Unit: 3744

taught by Rivalto to identify that person to the information processor of the Japanese reference.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry B. Tanner whose telephone number is (571) 272-4813. The examiner can normally be reached 8:30 am to 5:00 pm Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler, can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,091 Page 5

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harry B. Tanner Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744